

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 JEAN BROOKER,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 MERCK & COMPANY, INC. (a/k/a
14 MERCK & CO., INC.), et al.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.06-CV-1969-H-WMC

**ORDER SCHEDULING
RESPONSE DATE TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
REMAND**

15 Defendants removed this action on September 19, 2006. (Doc. No. 1.) Plaintiff
16 filed a motion to remand on October 13, 2006. (Doc. No. 8.) Defendants shall respond
17 to Plaintiff's motion to remand on or before **November 13, 2006**, and Plaintiff shall file
18 any reply on or before **November 20, 2006**. The Court will either submit the motion
19 on the papers pursuant to its discretion under Civil Local Rule 7.1(d)(1), transfer the
20 case pursuant to an order from the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, or set a
21 hearing date after receiving Defendants' response. Additionally, the Court notes that
22 several similar cases have been transferred with pending motions from the Southern
23 District of California to the ongoing multidistrict litigation, In re Vioxx Products
24 Liability Litigation, currently proceeding in the Eastern District of Louisiana.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED

26 DATED: October 20, 2006

27 
28 MARILYN L. HUFF, District Judge
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 Copies to:

2 Robert F. Clarke
3 Philips & Associates
3030 North Third Street, Suite 1100
Phoenix, AZ 85012

4 Andrea L McDonald-Hicks
5 Reed Smith
2 Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-3922

7 Michael K. Brown
8 Reed Smith
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90071

9 Kanika D. Corley
10 Morris Polich and Purdy
1055 West Seventh Street, 24th Floor
11 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2550